UNDERSTANDING PROSPECTIVE MEMORY IN MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS: HOW ARE OBJECTIVE AND SUBJECTIVE MEASURES RELATED AND WHO EXPERIENCES PROBLEMS

Elizabeth S. Gromisch¹⁻⁴, Aaron P. Turner⁵⁻⁷, Lindsay O. Neto^{1,2}, and Sarah A. Raskin^{8,9}



Mandell MS Center

C Trinity Health

Mount Sinai Rehabilitation Hospital

Background

- Persons with multiple sclerosis (PwMS) can experience deficits in prospective memory (PM) or "remembering to remember," which are associated with functional difficulties.
- However, little is known in this population about the relationship between objective and subjective measures of PM or who is more likely to experience PM impairments.

Objectives

- Examine the association between objective and subjective measures of PM
- 2. Explore which demographics and MS-related characteristics are related to objective and subjective PM performance.

Methods

Participants:

 PwMS (n = 112) from a larger study¹ who completed a neuropsychological battery.

Measures:

- Demographics: Age, education, gender, race, and ethnicity
- MS-related characteristics: disease duration, MS type, and level
- of disability (Patient Determined Disease Steps; PDDS)²⁻⁴
- Objective PM: Memory for Intentions Test (MIST)⁵
 - Raw total score used
- Subjective PM: Perceived Deficits Questionnaire PM subscale $(PDQ-PM)^{6}$
- Depressive Symptom Severity: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS-D)⁷

Statistical Analyses:

- Aim 1: Spearman's correlation between the MIST and PDQ-PM, followed by a partial correlation controlling for HADS-D.
- Aim 2: Separate stepwise linear regressions for the MIST and PDQ-PM with the selected demographics and MS-related characteristics.

Results

- MIST Total Score and PDQ-PM were significantly, albeit weakly, associated with each other ($\rho = -.24$, p = .012).
 - After controlling for the HADS-D, the relationship remained significant ($\rho = -.21, p = .030$).

¹ Mandell Center for Multiple Sclerosis Care and Neuroscience Research, Mount Sinai Rehabilitation Hospital, Trinity Health Of New England, Hartford, CT, USA ² Departments of Rehabilitative Medicine and ³ Medical Sciences, Frank H. Netter MD School of Medicine at Quinnipiac University, North Haven, CT, USA ⁴ Departments of Neurology, University of Connecticut School of Medicine, Farmington, CT, USA ⁵ Multiple Sclerosis Center of Excellence West, Veterans Affairs, Seattle, WA, USA ⁶ Rehabilitation Care Service, VA Puget Sound Health Care System, Seattle, WA, USA ⁷ Departments of Rehabilitation Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA ⁸ Neuroscience Program and ⁹ Department of Psychology, Trinity College, Hartford, CT, USA

Contact Information: Elizabeth S. Gromisch, elizabeth.gromisch@trinityhealthofne.org

Results (Cont.)

		B (SE)	95% CI	β	p-value
Step 1	Constant	34.64 (0.97)			
$R^2 = 0.08$	Race (Black)	-10.14 (3.25)	-16.57, -3.71	-0.29	.002
Step 2	Constant	37.82 (1.47)			
$R^2 = 0.14$	Race (Black)	-9.92 (3.15)	-16.15, -3.68	-0.28	.002
	PDDS	-1.22 (0.43)	-2.07, -0.36	-0.25	.006
Step 3	Constant	38.69 (1.49)			
R ² = 0.18	Race (Black)	-10.24 (3.09)	-16.37, -4.10	-0.29	.001
	PDDS	-1.09 (0.43)	-1.93, -0.24	-0.22	.012
	Gender (Men)	-4.58 (2.03)	-8.61, -0.57	-0.20	.026

Table 1: Stepwise linear regression for the MIST Total Score

-					_
		B (SE)	95% CI	β	p-value
Step 3	Constant	42.17 (6.92)			
R ² = 0.18	Age	-0.18 (0.07)	-0.33, -0.03	-0.21	.019
	Years of education	0.20 (0.40)	-0.59, 0.99	0.05	.616
	Race (Black)	-11.66 (3.16)	-17.93, -5.40	-0.33	<.001
	Gender (Men)	-5.20 (2.06)	-9.27, -1.12	-0.23	.013

Table 2: Final step of revised MIST Total Score regression, with age and education entered into Step 1 and remaining variables entered in forward stepwise entry

		B (SE)	95% CI	β	p-value
Step 1	Constant	7.41 (0.31)			
R ² = 0.16	Race (Black)	4.79 (1.05)	2.71, 6.86	0.40	<.001
Step 2	Constant	10.82 (1.29)			
R ² = 0.21	Race (Black)	4.32 (1.03)	2.28, 6.37	0.36	<.001
	Age	-0.07 (0.02)	-0.11, -0.02	-0.24	.007

Table 3: Stepwise linear regression for the PDQ-PM

		B (SE)	95% CI	β	p-value
Step 2	Constant	12.93 (2.20)			
R ² = 0.22	Age	-0.06 (0.02)	-0.11, -0.14	-0.22	.012
	Years of education	-0.15 (0.13)	-0.40, 0.10	-0.10	.243
	Race (Black)	4.30 (1.03)	2.26, 6.34	0.36	<.001

Table 4: Final step of revised PDQ-PM regression, with age and education entered into Step 1 and remaining variables entered in forward stepwise entry

Results (Cont.)

- with the PDQ-PM (**Table 3**). (**Table 4**).

Conclusions

- MS.
- contributing factors.

References

- progression. Neurology. 1995;45(2):251-255.
- Resources, Inc, Lutz, FL. 2010.
- 1983;67(6):361-370.

Acknowledgements

The views and opinions expressed in this article reflect those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the United States Department of Veterans Affairs.

The Patient Determined Disease Steps (PDDS) is provided for use by the North American Research Committee on Multiple Sclerosis (NARCOMS) Registry (www.narcoms.org/pdds). NARCOMS is a project of the CMSC.

This study was funded by a pilot grant from the National MS Society (PP-1901-33103). Dr. Gromisch is a Harry Weaver Scholar of the National MS Society.



 Black PwMS, men, and PwMS with higher PDDS scores had lower performances on the MIST (**Table 1**).

• Even when age and education were entered into the first step, Black PwMS continued to have poorer performance (lower scores) on the MIST, as did men (Table 2).

Black PwMS and younger PwMS reported more PM problems

• Even when age and education were entered into the first step, Black PwMS continued to have higher PDQ-PM score

Even after factoring in for depressive symptom severity, which can influence perceptions of cognitive functioning, objective and subjective measures of PM are associated with each other in

• Men demonstrated worse PM performance, which is consistent with other findings that men with MS can present with more cognitive impairment than women⁸.

• While the findings suggest that Black PwMS experience higher rates of PM issues, on both objective and subjective measures, further investigation is needed to determine the specific

Gromisch ES, Neto LO, Turner AP. What biopsychosocial factors explain self-management behaviors in multiple sclerosis? The role of demographics, cognition, personality, and psychosocial and physical functioning. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. 2021.

2. Hohol M, Orav E, Weiner H. Disease Steps in multiple sclerosis A simple approach to evaluate disease

3. Hohol M, Orav E, Weiner H. Disease steps in multiple sclerosis: a longitudinal study comparing disease steps and EDSS to evaluate disease progression. *Multiple Sclerosis Journal.* 1999;5(5):349-354.

4. Marrie RA, Goldman M. Validity of performance scales for disability assessment in multiple sclerosis. *Multiple* Sclerosis Journal. 2007;13(9):1176-1182. 5. Raskin SA, Buckheit C, Sherrod C. Memory for intentions screening test: Manual. *Psychological Assessment*

6. Ritvo P, Fischer J, Miller D, Andrews H, Paty D, LaRocca N. Multiple Sclerosis Quality of Life Inventory: A User's Manual. New York: National MS Society. 2015.

7. Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. Acta psychiatrica scandinavica.

8. Benedict RH, Zivadinov R. Risk factors for and management of cognitive dysfunction in multiple sclerosis. Nature Reviews Neurology. 2011;7(6):332-42.